# Do women really have more bilateral language representation than men? A meta-analysis of functional imaging studies

Iris E. C. Sommer<sup>1</sup>, André Aleman<sup>1</sup>, Anke Bouma<sup>2</sup> and René S. Kahn<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center, Utrecht, The Netherlands and <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Groningen, The Netherlands

#### Summary

Sex differences in cognition are consistently reported, men excelling in most visuospatial tasks and women in certain verbal tasks. It has been hypothesized that these sex differences in cognition results from a more bilateral pattern of language representation in women than in men. This bilateral pattern of language representation in women is thought to interfere with visuospatial functions in the right hemisphere. To test whether language representation is indeed more bilateral in the female than in the male brain, a meta-analysis was performed on studies that assessed language activity with functional imaging in healthy men and women. Effect sizes were weighted for sample size and the meta-analytic method was applied to Correspondence to: Iris E. C. Sommer, Rudolf Magnus Institute of Neuroscience, Psychiatry Department A01.126, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX, Utrecht, The Netherlands E-mail I.Sommer@azu.nl

obtain a combined effect size. Fourteen studies were included, providing data on 377 men and 442 women. Meta-analysis yielded a mean weighted effect d of 0.21 with a 95% confidence interval of -0.05 to 0.48, indicating no significant difference in language lateralization between men and women. This implies that the putative sex difference in language lateralization may be absent at the population level, or may be observed only with some, as yet not defined, language tasks. It is therefore not likely that differences in language lateralization underlie the general sex differences in cognitive performance, and the neuronal basis for these cognitive sex differences remains elusive.

Keywords: language lateralization; sex difference; functional imaging; meta-analysis

Received February 4, 2004. Revised April 1, 2004. Accepted April 4, 2004. Advanced Access publication July 7, 2004

### Introduction

Sex differences in cognitive performance are consistently reported, men excelling in mental rotation and spatial perception and women performing better on verbal memory tasks, verbal fluency tasks and in speed of articulation (Linn and Petersen, 1985; Kimura, 2000). Furthermore, language and reading disorders are reported to occur approximately twice as often in boys than in girls (Flannery *et al.*, 2000). Since the sex difference in the risk of language disorders may be associated with the sex difference in cognitive skills, it would be of both scientific and clinical importance to obtain insight into the mechanism that underlies these sex differences in cognitive functions.

The cerebral substrate of the sex differences in cognition is not known. It has been hypothesized that language functions are represented more bilaterally in the female brain than in the male brain (McGlone, 1980; Dorion *et al.*, 2000;

for language functions while males predominantly use the left hemisphere. A more bilateral pattern of language representation is thought to result in better verbal skills, while visuospatial processing would be inferior in subjects with bilateral language representation (Levy, 1969). Thus, the female deficit in spatial performance is hypothesized to result from competition between verbal and spatial functions in the right hemisphere. The theory that sex differences in cognition arise from more

Gur et al., 2000). Women might thus use both hemispheres

bilateral representation of language functions in females than in males is supported by two findings. First, female stroke patients have been reported to exhibit verbal impairment less frequently after lesions of the left hemisphere than male patients (McGlone, 1980). Secondly, structural MRI studies demonstrated that asymmetry of the planum temporale (the upper surface of the temporal lobe largely overlapping with Wernicke's area) is less pronounced in females than in males (Kulynych *et al.*, 1994; Foundas *et al.*, 2002).

However, both observations provide only indirect support for decreased functional lateralization in the female brain. At present, functional imaging techniques have become available that can directly visualize cortical language representation in the human brain. Several functional imaging groups have reported data on sex differences in cortical language representation, but the results are inconsistent. In order to test whether language representation is indeed more bilateral in the female than in the male brain, a meta-analysis was performed on studies that assessed language activity with functional imaging techniques in healthy men and women.

### Method

#### Search strategy and selection criteria

Studies were identified in Medline and PsychLit using combinations of the following search terms: sex, gender, language, words, dominance, lateralization, fMRI, PET. Additional references were retrieved from selected articles. Only English publications from international journals were selected. In addition, the last five volumes of three journals (*Brain, Neuroimage* and *Human Brain Mapping*) were searched manually to check for other suitable studies.

The identified studies had to meet the following criteria. (i) Language activation assessed bilaterally with an established functional imaging technique, such as PET, functional fMRI or functional transcranial Doppler ultrasound. (ii) Activation assessed during the performance of a language task involving phonological and/or semantic processing of words, pseudowords, sentences or stories, presented visually or auditorily. These tasks should be documented to yield a left-lateralized activation pattern in healthy volunteers. (iii) Data available from healthy women and men who were well matched for handedness. (iv) Sufficient statistical data reported for males and females separately (means and standard deviations of the activation in each hemisphere), or exact F or t values of the appropriate tests.

#### Analysis

For each study Cohen's d, the difference between the mean of the experimental group and the mean of the comparison group divided by the pooled standard deviation, was calculated (Shadish and Haddock, 1994). In this case, the mean lateralization index for women was subtracted from the mean lateralization index for men, divided by the pooled standard deviation of both. When means and standard deviations were not available, d values were computed from exact P values, t values or F values (Lipsey and Wilson, 2001).

After computing effect sizes for each study, the meta-analytical method was applied in order to obtain a combined effect size (Rosenthal, 1991), which indicated the magnitude of the association across all studies. Effect sizes were weighted for sample size in order to correct for upwardly biased estimation of the effect in small sample sizes. In addition, a homogeneity statistic (Q) was calculated to assess the heterogeneity of results across studies (Rosenthal, 1991). When significant, this homogeneity statistic indicated that the observed variance in study effect sizes is significantly greater than would be expected by chance if all studies had shared a common population effect size. If significant heterogeneity would be found, a moderator analysis could be performed to investigate potential moderating factors (Rosenthal, 1991).

Several studies could not be included in the meta-analysis because sufficient statistical data were not available. To yield a global impression of the mean findings of these studies, a vote-count analysis was also carried out. For this test, each study was given a weight, based on its sample size. The total weights of all studies that reported a sex difference in language lateralization (more asymmetry in men than in women) was compared with the total weights of all studies that reported no sex difference (cf. Sommer *et al.*, 2003*a*). All studies were included in this vote-count analysis to obtain a general picture of all published evidence. In studies that applied two or three language tasks, scan data of all tasks were used (i.e. these subjects were counted twice or three times).

### Results

Twenty-four studies had been selected that had measured language lateralization with functional imaging techniques in healthy men and women and reported on a possible sex difference. Characteristics and main findings of the included studies are provided in Table 1.

#### Meta-analysis

From these studies, fourteen could be included in the metaanalysis, providing data on 377 men and 442 women. Figure 1 shows the individual weighted effect size of each study. The meta-analysis yielded the following results: mean weighted effect: d = 0.21, 95% confidence interval -0.05 to 0.481. This indicates no significant difference in language lateralization between men and women. The homogeneity index Q was 31.8, P = 0.003, indicating significant heterogeneity among the studies. A moderator analysis was performed with the variable 'language activation task'; this showed no significant difference between word production tasks (i.e. verbal fluency and verb generation): k = 5, n = 491, d = 0.14 (-0.04 to 0.32) and receptive language tasks (semantic decision tasks): k = 6, n = 254, d = 0.05 (-0.20 to 0.31), Q(b) = 0.32, P = 0.57.

There were too few studies with other language tasks to investigate possible differences between other task characteristics, such as word versus non-word, or single words versus sentences.

### Vote count analysis

All 24 studies were included in the vote-count analysis, providing data on 619 men and 743 women. From the 24 studies, 15 studies reported having found no sex difference in language lateralization. Nine studies reported finding a sex difference for at least one language task. The vote-count revealed a score of 1137 for studies that found no sex difference, compared with 285 for studies that did find a sex difference. This implies that the studies that did report a sex difference generally had a much smaller sample size (mean 31, SD 10) than the studies that reported no difference between the sexes (mean 76, SD 24).

### Discussion

In this study, data on language lateralization of healthy men and women from 14 functional imaging studies were

Study	Number of healthy subjects	Language task	Authors report sex difference in lateralization	Effect size or reason for exclusion		
Binder et al., 1995	2 men, 3 women	Semantic decision	No	Included, $d = -0.17$		
Buckner <i>et al.</i> , 1995 12 men, 20 women Stem completion		No No	Excluded, insufficient data			
Shaywitz et al., 1995	19 men, 19 women	Rhyme judgement Semantic decision	Yes	Included, $d = 1.22$		
Pugh et al., 1996	19 men, 19 women	Rhyme judgement	Yes	Excluded, same subjects and scans as Shavwitz, 1999		
		Semantic decision	No	······ ····· ·························		
Jaeger <i>et al.</i> , 1998	9 men. 8 women	Past tense generation	Yes	Excluded: insufficient data		
		Verb generation	No			
Van der Kallen <i>et al</i> 1998	10 men. 10 women	Verbal fluency	No	Included, $d = 0.42$		
Schlosser et al 1998	6 men. 6 women	Verbal fluency	Yes	Excluded, insufficient data		
Xiong <i>et al.</i> , 1998	5 men. 4 women	Verb generation	No	Included, $d = 0.9$		
Springer <i>et al.</i> , 1999	52 men. 48 women	Semantic decision	No	Included, $d = -0.15$		
Frost et al., 1999	50 men, 50 women	Semantic decision	No	Excluded, same subjects and scans as Springer <i>et al.</i> 1999		
Puiol et al., 1999	50 men. 50 women	Verbal fluency	No	Included, $d = 0.1$		
Vingerhoets et al., 1999	38 men, 52 women	Several verbal tasks	No	Excluded: no separate data for spatial and verbal tasks		
Gur et al., 2000	14 men, 13 women	Semantic decision	Yes	Included, $d = 0.03$		
Kansaku et al., 2000	16 men, 14 women	Story listening	Yes	Included, $d = 1.01$		
Knecht et al., 2000a	77 men, 111 women	Verbal fluency	No	Excluded, subjects overlap with Knecht et al., 2000b		
Knecht et al., 2000b	128 men, 198 women	Verbal fluency	No	Included, $d = 0.14$		
Phillips et al., 2001	10 men, 10 women	Story listening	Yes	Included, $d = 1.76$		
Vikingstad et al., 2000	17 men, 19 women	Verb generation	Yes	Included, $d = 0.01$		
		Picture naming	Yes			
Billingsley et al., 2001	6 men, 5 women	Rhyme task	No	Excluded: insufficient data		
		Semantic decision	No			
Sommer et al 2003b	12 men, 12 women	Verb generation	No	Included, $d = -0.76$		
		Semantic decision	No			
Baxter et al., 2003	9 men, 10 women	Semantic decision	Yes	Excluded: insufficient data		
Hund-Georgiadis et al., 2002	18 men, 16 women	Semantic decision	No	Included, $d = -0.36$		
Rossell et al., 2002	6 men, 6 women	Target detection of words	Yes	Excluded: hemifield projection of stimuli		
Szaflarski et al., 2002	24 men, 26 women	Semantic decision	No	Included, $d = 0.07$		
Sommer et al., 2004	10 men, 14 women	Verb generation	No	Excluded: insufficient data		
		Semantic decision	No			

**Table 1.** Functional imaging studies (fMRI, functional transcranial Doppler or PET) on sex differences in language lateralization

combined in a meta-analysis. The difference in language lateralization between men and women was not significant. The vote-count analysis, which included 24 studies, showed that the majority of studies reported no difference in lateralization between the sexes. Studies that did report a sex difference in language lateralization had smaller sample sizes than studies that reported no sex difference.

Three hypotheses may be considered in the light of these findings. First, there may be a sex difference at the population level, but it is relatively small so that it is only sporadically observed. Were this to be true, studies with larger sample sizes would be expected to report a sex difference in lateralization more frequently than studies with smaller sample sizes, since they have more power to detect subtle differences. However, the vote-count analysis revealed that the studies that reported a sex difference had much smaller sample sizes than the studies with negative findings. Thus, the hypothesis of a true but subtle sex difference in language lateralization at the population level is not supported by our data.

A second hypothesis to explain the present findings is that sex differences in language lateralization may be taskdependent. Indeed, there was significant heterogeneity among the studies in our meta-analysis, which may be congruent with this hypothesis. Shaywitz and colleagues stated that only tasks requiring phonological processing yield more bilateral language lateralization in women, while semantically processed tasks yield no sex difference in lateralization (Shaywitz *et al.*, 1995). The results of the studies listed in Table 1 do not support this statement, since sex differences in lateralization have been reported with several semantic language tasks (semantic decision tasks, verbal fluency, verb generation and story listening). Alternatively, Kansaku

	Citation	Effect	NTotal	-4.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00	4.00
	Binder 1995	124	5					
	Gur 2000	029	27					
	Hund-Georgiadis 2002	351	34		-			
	Kansaku 2000	.983	30					
	Knecht 2000	.140	326					
	Phillips 2000	1.686	20			-		
	Pujol 1999	.099	100			-		
	Shaywitz 1995	1.194	38			-		
	Sommer 2001	734	24			∎→		
	Springer 1999	149	100					
	Szaflarski 2002	.069	50			_ <b>#</b>		
	Van de Kallen 1998	.402	20					
	Vikingstad 2000	.010	36					
	Xiong 1998	.800	9				<b> </b>	
Random	Combined (14)	.214	819			-		
				mc in v	ore lateraliza women	tion les in v	s lateraliza women	tion

## language processing

Comprehensive Meta Analysis

Fig. 1 Language processing in men and women.

and Kitazawa (2001) suggested that only tasks that present real words instead of non-words elicit a sex difference. This suggestion could not be supported either, since two studies reported a sex difference in lateralization with rhyme tasks consisting of non-words (Shaywitz *et al.*, 1995; Pugh *et al.*, 1996). Furthermore, inspection of the data listed in Table 1 revealed no clusters of positive findings with a certain type of language task. The moderator analysis on task characteristics found no significant difference between productive and receptive language tasks. Thus, we found no support for this hypothesis.

The third hypothesis is the null hypothesis: that there is no sex difference in language lateralization at the population level. If this hypothesis were true, the sex differences reported in the studies with small samples may reflect biased reporting of chance findings, i.e. the 'file drawer' problem (Rosenthal, 1991). This hypothesis offers an explanation for the larger mean sample size of studies with negative findings compared with those with positive findings. Since the first two hypotheses appeared unlikely, the third hypothesis would best accommodate the present findings.

Functional imaging studies on sex differences in lateralization have not been reviewed previously with a meta-analytic technique. However, sex differences in language lateralization have been estimated with other methods, such as clinical studies on patients with unilateral brain lesions and experiments in which dichotic listening tests are applied to measure perceptual asymmetry.

Current knowledge on the organization of the brain for language in both sexes is largely based on data from studies that establish a link between language functions and brain organization by associating disrupted function of a brain area with a change in linguistic behaviour, usually a deficit. Such studies identify a brain area as critical for a certain aspect of language, which means that aphasia results when a critical area is damaged. This type of study, which includes frequency studies of different kinds of aphasia and their corresponding lesions, observations using the carotid sodium Amytal (Wada) procedure and findings with intra-operative electrical stimulation, yields information about the lateralization of critical language areas, such as Brodmann's Area (BA) 44 and 45 and Wernicke's area (the upper part of the superior temporal gyrus). In contrast, the studies that were included in this meta-analysis record physiological measures of brain activity while subjects were engaged in tasks that addressed certain language functions, such as PET, functional MRI and functional transcranial Doppler. Language dominance as measured with these techniques is highly correlated to dominance as assessed with the Wada procedure, which is considered the gold standard (Binder et al., 1997; Deppe et al., 2000). However, the degree of language lateralization measured with functional imaging techniques may be lower than that observed in lesion studies, since language activation may also be detected at sites that are not critical for that language function, but may be activated for non-specific supporting functions. Examples of areas that are frequently activated during language tasks with functional imaging, but that do not produce aphasia when lesioned, are the anterior cingulate gyrus and the superior frontal gyrus (Binder *et al.*, 1997).

McGlone (1980) first reported a higher incidence of aphasia in 23 men compared with 20 women after unilateral lesions of the left hemisphere. Kimura (1983) replicated McGlone's finding of a higher incidence of aphasia after left-hemisphere injury in a sample of 144 men and 92 women. However, if this sex difference in the incidence of aphasia were the result of a more bilateral pattern of language representation in women than in men, the incidence of aphasia after right-hemisphere injury would be expected to be higher in women than in men. This prediction was not met, since the incidence of aphasia was similar (2% for men and 1% for women) in a group of 134 men and 100 women with lesions of the right hemisphere (Kimura, 1983). However, Kimura (1983) found that aphasia in women was more common after anterior lesions than after posterior lesions within the left hemisphere, whereas in men aphasia was more common after lesions of the posterior language areas (Kimura, 1983). Because anterior lesions occur less frequently than lesions of the posterior language areas, this offers an alternative explanation for the lower incidence of aphasia in women after left-sided lesions. McGlone's and Kimura's finding of higher frequencies of aphasia in men than in women after left-hemisphere lesions was contrasted by Kertesz and Sheppard (1981), who reported that 78 righthanded females who had suffered left hemisphere stroke performed slightly worse on the Western Aphasia Battery (WAB) than 114 males with similar lesions. No difference in score on the WAB between men and women was found after right-hemisphere stroke. Thus, a sex difference after lefthemisphere lesions has not been consistently reported and differences in aphasia after right-hemisphere lesions have never been demonstrated.

Another test of sex differences in cerebral dominance for language is provided by experimental studies using dichotic listening techniques. Dichotic listening studies compare the performance between items presented to the left and to the right ear. If this perceptual asymmetry is reduced, this is taken to reflect decreased cerebral dominance. However, decreased language dominance is not the only factor that can cause low perceptual asymmetry, since this method is also liable to differences in inhibition, selected attention and sustained concentration. Furthermore, results of dichotic listening studies are largely dependent on the choice of task that is applied. Sex differences in dichotic listening tests have been reported by several studies (Lake and Bryden, 1976; Voyer, 1996; Coney, 2002), but not by all (Witelson, 1976; Carter-Salzman, 1979; Demarest and Demarest, 1981). A few studies reported larger perceptual asymmetry in women than in men (van Duyn and

Sass, 1979; Hiscock and Hiscock, 1988). Furthermore, two large dichotic listening studies reported no gender difference in language lateralization. Hiscock and MacKay (1985) administered verbal dichotic listening tests to 477 right-handed volunteers in five consecutive experiments. None of the five analyses yielded a significant sex difference, and even when data of the five tests were pooled no sex difference prevailed. Similarly, Hugdahl (2003) found no sex difference in a database of 1018 healthy subjects performing a verbal dichotic listening test.

Some authors suggest that language dominance is less stable in women, since it is thought to fluctuate during the menstrual cycle (Altemus *et al.*, 1989). However, this hypothesized hormonal effect has only been studied using perceptual asymmetry, with contradicting findings (Altemus *et al.*, 1989; Sanders and Wenmoth, 1998; Hausmann *et al.*, 2002). Therefore, the effects on perceptual asymmetry may be the result of differences in performance rather than true differences in cerebral dominance. Thus, the results from dichotic listening studies are inconsistent. Since two large studies found no sex difference in language lateralization, the sex difference, if present, is supposedly subtle. These findings are in line with the result of this meta-analysis.

In parallel to the findings on functional language lateralization, studies that measure the anatomical size of the planum temporale are also inconsistent on a gender difference in asymmetry. Several studies reported that asymmetry of the planum temporale was larger in men (Wada et al., 1975, Bilder et al., 1994, Kulynych et al., 1994; Foundas et al., 2002), which probably results from a larger left planum temporale in men compared with women (Kulynych et al., 1994; Foundas et al., 2002). On the other hand, a number of studies could not observe a sex difference in asymmetry of the planum temporale (Kertesz et al., 1986; Duara et al., 1991; DeLisi et al., 1994; Jancke et al., 1994; Petty et al., 1995). A large voxel-based analysis on MRI scans of 465 healthy adults reported increased asymmetry of the planum temporale in men compared with women, which was caused by smaller left plani in women (Good et al., 2001). However, there are many studies on sex differences in structural asymmetry and they have not been metaanalysed yet, which makes it difficult to come to a conclusion on this topic. Furthermore, it is not clear whether greater asymmetry of the planum temporale in men than in women reflects a higher degree of functional language lateralization in men. Two studies investigated the correlation between asymmetry of the planum temporale and cerebral dominance for language. Foundas and colleagues reported that asymmetry of the planum temporale correlated to cerebral dominance assessed with the Wada test in 11 subjects (Foundas et al., 1994), while Tzourio and colleagues found no correlation between the same measurements in 14 subjects (Tzourio et al., 1998). The latter study did find a correlation between cerebral dominance and the size of the left planum. Thus, it is currently not clear whether

asymmetry of the planum temporale is an accurate predictor of functional language representation.

This short overview of the literature, together with the present findings, suggests that there may not be a sex difference in cerebral dominance for language. Future research may be aimed at other cerebral systems that could underlie sex differences in cognition. Several alternatives have been proposed. Kimura (2000) observed that women rely more on the anterior language area of the left hemisphere for language functions, while men mainly activate the posterior (temporoparietal) language area. It would be interesting to investigate if functional imaging studies can replicate her finding.

Differences in the width and shape of the corpus callosum have also been proposed to underlie cognitive sex differences. DeLacoste-Utamsing and Holloway (1982) first reported that the posterior part of the corpus callosum was larger and more bulbous in women. Since this first report there have been both confirmations and denials of sex differences in the width of the corpus callosum. In a review, Driesen and Raz (1995) concluded that there probably is a small difference favouring women. In addition, other commissures of the brain have also been reported to be wider in women than in men. The cross-sectional area of the anterior commissure is larger in women than in men (Allen and Gorski, 1991). Furthermore, the massa intermedia, which connects the two halves of the thalamus, is found to be more frequently absent in men than in women (Allen and Gorski, 1991). Possibly, the two hemispheres of the female brain may be better connected than the hemispheres of the male brain, which may give a higher speed of information transfer between the hemispheres in females, and could explain the female advantages in some language tasks.

Another alternative cerebral substrate for the sex differences in cognition has been described by Witelson (1995), who studied cytoarchitecture in post-mortem brains. In a small sample she found that the density of neurons in layers II and IV of the posterior temporal cortex was greater by 11% in women, with no overlap of scores between the sexes. However, this study has not been replicated.

This study has several limitations. First, a relatively large number of studies could not be included in the metaanalysis since they provided only qualitative information or insufficient statistical data about sex differences in lateralization. However, the sample size of the meta-analysis is still relatively large and the result of the vote-count analysis is congruent with the finding of the meta-analysis. Another limitation is that we analysed all language activation tasks that are generally lateralized to the left hemisphere, without dividing them into specific categories. There are many possible subdivisions that could be made on the basis of task characteristics, such as visual or auditory presentation, single-word stimuli or stories, phonological or semantic processing, productive or receptive tasks and abstract or concrete words. All these divisions appear to be equally valid candidates that may affect the degree of lateralization differently in the two sexes. However, all these separate categories would decrease the sample size, decrease the power of the meta-analysis and increase the chance of a false-positive finding. Therefore, we preferred to analyse the whole sample of all left-lateralized language activation tasks. We did, however, perform a moderator analysis, which showed that there was no difference in sex effect between productive and receptive language tasks.

In summary, this meta-analysis found no significant sex difference in functional language lateralization in a large sample of 377 men and 442 women. Thus, the hypothesis that language functions are generally presented more bilaterally in women than in men is not supported. This suggests that language lateralization is unlikely to underlie sex differences in cognition, and their biological basis remains elusive.

#### References

- Allen LS and Gorski RA. Sexual dimorphism of the anterior commissure and massa intermedia of the human brain. J Comp Neurol 1991; 312: 97–104.
- Altemus M, Wexler BE, Boulis N. Changes in perceptual asymmetry with the menstrual cycle. Neuropsychologia 1989; 27: 233–40.
- Baxter LC, Saykin AJ, Flashman LA, Johnson SC, Guerin SJ, Babcock DR, et al. Sex differences in semantic language processing: a functional MRI study. Brain Lang 2003; 84: 264–72.
- Bilder RM, Wu H, Bogerts B, Degreef G, Ashtari M, Alvir PJ, et al. Absence of regional hemispheric volumes asymmetry in first episode schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 1994; 151: 1437–47.
- Billingsley RL, McAndrews MP, Crawley AP, Mikulis DJ. Functional MRI of phonological and semantic processing in temporal lobe epilepsy. Brain 2001; 124: 1218–27.
- Binder J. Functional magnetic resonance imaging. Language mapping. Neurosurg Clin N Am 1997; 8: 383–92.
- Binder JR, Rao SM, Hammeke TA, Frost JA, Bandettini PA, Jesmanowicz A, et al. Lateralized human brain language systems demonstrated by task subtraction functional magnetic resonance imaging. Arch Neurol 1995; 52: 593–601.
- Buckner RL, Raichle ME, Petersen SE. Dissociation of human prefrontal cortical areas across different speech production tasks and gender groups. J Neurophysiol 1995; 74: 2163–73.
- Carter-Salzman L. Patterns of cognitive functioning in relation to handedness and sex related differences. In: Wittig MA, Petersen AC, editors. Sex related differences in cognitive functioning: developmental issues. New York: Academic Press; 1979. p. 97–118.
- Coney J. Lateral asymmetry in phonological processing: relating behavioral measures to neuroimaged structures. Brain Lang 2002; 80: 355–65.
- DeLacoste-Utamsing MC, Holloway RL. Sexual dimorphism in the human corpus callosum. Science 1982; 216: 1431–2.
- DeLisi LE, Hoff AL, Neale C, Kushner M. Asymmetries in the superior temporal lobe in male and female first-episode schizophrenic patients. Measures of the planum temporale and superior temporal gyrus by MRI. Schizophrenia Res 1994; 12: 19–28.
- Demarest L, Demarest J. The interaction of handedness, familial sinistrality and sex on the performance of a dichotic listening task. Int J Neurosci 1981; 14: 7–13.
- Deppe M, Knecht S, Papke K, Lohmann H, Fleischer H, Heindel W, et al. Assessment of hemispheric language lateralization: a comparison between fMRI and fTCD. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2000; 20: 263–8.
- Dorion AA, Chantome M, Hasboun D, Zouaoui A, Marsault C, Capron C, Duyme M. Hemispheric asymmetry and corpus callosum

- Driesen NR, Raz N. The influence of sex, age and handedness on corpus callosum morphology. A meta-analysis. Psychobiology 1995; 23: 240–7.
- Duara R, Kushch A, Gross-Glenn B, Barker WW, Jallad S, Pascal D, et al. Neuroanatomical differences between dyslexic and normal readers on MRI scans. Arch Neurol 1991; 48: 410–6.
- Flannery KA, Liederman J, Daly L, Schultz J. Male prevalence for reading disability is found in a large sample of black and white children free from ascertainment bias. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2000; 6: 433–42.
- Foundas AL, Leonard CM, Gilmore R, Fennell E, Heilman KM. Planum temporale asymmetry and language dominance Neuropsychologia 1994; 32: 1225–31.
- Foundas AL, Leonard CM, Hanna-Pladdy M. Variability in the anatomy of the planum temporale and posterior ascending ramus: do right and left-handers differ? Brain Lang 2002; 83: 403–24.
- Frost JA, Binder JR, Springer JA, Hammeke TA, Bellgowan PS, Rao SM, et al. Language processing is strongly left lateralized in both sexes. Evidence from functional MRI. Brain 1999; 122: 199–208.
- Good CD, Johnsrude I, Ashburner J, Henson RNA, Friston KJ, Frackowiak SJ. Cerebral asymmetry and the effects of sex and handedness on brain structure: a voxel-based morphometric analysis of 465 normal adult human brains. Neuroimage 2001; 14: 685–700.
- Gur RC, Alsop D, Glahn D, Petty, Swanson CL, Maldjian JA, et al. An fMRI study of sex differences in regional activation to a verbal and a spatial task. Brain Lang 2000; 74: 157–70.
- Hausmann M, Becker C, Gather U, Gunturkun O. Functional cerebral asymmetries during the menstrual cycle: a cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis. Neuropsychologia 2002; 40: 808–16.
- Hiscock M, Hiscock CK. An anomalous sex difference in auditory laterality. Cortex 1988; 24: 595–9.
- Hiscock M, Mackay M. The sex difference in dichotic listening: multiple negative findings. Neuropsychologia 1985; 23: 441–4.
- Hugdahl K. Dichotic listening and auditory laterality. In: Hugdahl K and Davidson RJ, editors. The asymmetrical brain. Cambridge (MA): MIT Press; 2003. p. 441–77.
- Hund-Georgiadis M, Lex U, Friederici AD, von Cramon DY. Non-invasive regime for language lateralization in right- and left-handers by means of functional MRI and dichotic listening. Exp Brain Res 2002; 145: 166–76.
- Jaeger JJ, Lockwood AH, Van Valin RD Jr, Kemmerer DL, Murphy BW, Wack DS. Sex differences in brain regions activated by grammatical and reading tasks. Neuroreport 1998; 9: 2803–7.
- Jancke L, Schlaug G, Huang Y, Steinmetz H. Asymmetry of the planum parietale. Neuroreport 1994; 5: 1161–3.
- Kansaku K and Kitazawa S. Imaging studies on sex differences in the lateralization of language Neurosci Res 2001; 41: 333–7.
- Kansaku K, Yamaura A, Kitazawa S. Sex differences in lateralization revealed in the posterior language areas. Cereb Cortex 2000; 10: 866–72.
- Kertesz A, Sheppard A. The epidemiology of aphasic and cognitive impairment in stroke Brain 1981; 104: 117–128.
- Kertesz A, Black SE, Polk M, Howell J. Cerebral asymmetries on magnetic resonance imaging. Cortex 1986; 22: 117–27.
- Kimura D. Sex differences in cerebral organization for speech and praxic functions. Can J Psychol 1983; 37: 19–35.
- Kimura D. Sex and cognition. Cambridge (MA): MIT Press; 2000.
- Knecht S, Deppe M, Drager B, Bobe L, Lohmann H, Ringelstein E, Henningsen H. Language lateralization in healthy right-handers. Brain 2000a; 123: 74–81.
- Knecht S, Drager B, Deppe M, Bobe L, Lohmann H, Floel A, Ringelstein EB, et al. Handedness and hemispheric language dominance in healthy humans. Brain 2000b; 12: 2512–8.
- Kulynych JJ, Vladar K, Jones DW, Weinberger DR. Gender differences in the normal lateralization of the supratemporal cortex: MRI surface-rendering morphometry of Heschl's gyrus and the planum temporale. Cereb Cortex 1994; 4: 107–18.

- Lake DA, Bryden MP. Handedness and sex differences in hemispheric asymmetry. Brain Language 1976; 3: 266–82.
- Levy J. Possible basis for the evolution of lateral specialization of the human brain. Nature 1969; 224: 614–5.
- Linn MC, Petersen AC. Emergence and characterization of sex differences in spatial ability: a meta-analysis. Child Dev 1985; 56: 1459–98.
- Lipsey MW, Wilson DB, editors. Practical meta-analysis. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications; 2001.
- Lipsey MW and Wilson DB. The way in which intervention studies have 'personality' and why it is important to meta-analysis. Eval Health Prof 2001; 24: 236–54.
- McGlone J. Sex differences in human brain organisation: a critical survey. Behav Brain Sci 1980; 3: 215–27.
- Petty RG, Barta PE, Pearlson GD, McGilchrist IK, Lewis RW, Tien AY, et al. Reversal of asymmetry of planum temporale in schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 1995; 152: 715–21.
- Phillips MD, Lowe MJ, Lurito JT, Dzemidzic M, Mathews VP. Temporal lobe activation demonstrates sex-based differences during passive listening. Radiology 2001; 220: 202–7.
- Pugh KR, Shaywitz BA, Shaywitz SE, Constable RT, Skudlarski P, Fulbright RK, et al. Cerebral organization of component processes in reading. Brain 1996; 119: 1221–38.
- Pujol J, Deus J, Losilla JM, Capdevila A. Cerebral lateralization of language in normal left-handed people studied by functional MRI. Neurology 1999; 52: 1038–43.
- Rosenthal, R. Meta-analytic procedures for social research. Newbury Park (PA): Sage; 1991.
- Rossell SL, Bullmore ET, Williams SC, David AS. Sex differences in functional brain activation during a lexical visual field task. Brain Lang 2002; 80: 97–105.
- Sanders G, Wenmoth D. Verbal and music dichotic listening tasks reveal variations in functional cerebral asymmetry across the menstrual cycle that are phase and task dependent. Neuropsychologia 1998; 36: 869–74.
- Schlosser MJ, Aoyagi N, Fulbright RK, Gore JC, McCarthy G. Functional MRI studies of auditory comprehension. Hum Brain Mapp 1998; 6: 1–13.
- Shaddish WR, Haddock CK. Combining estimates of effect size. In: Cooper H, Hedges LV, editors. The handbook of research synthesis. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 1994. p. 226–85.
- Shaywitz, BA, Shaywitz SE, Pugh KR, Constable P, Fulbright RK, et al. Sex differences in the functional organization of the brain for language. Nature 1995; 373: 607–9.
- Sommer IEC, Aleman A, Kahn RS. Left with the voices or hearing right? Lateralization of auditory verbal hallucinations in schizophrenia. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2003a; 28: 217–8.
- Sommer IEC, Ramsey NF, Mandl RCW, Kahn RS. Language lateralization in female patients with schizophrenia: an fMRI study. Schizophr Res 2003b; 60: 183–90.
- Sommer IEC, Ramsey NF, Mandl RCW, van Oel CJ, Kahn RS. Language activation in monozygotic twins discordant for schizophrenia. Br J Psychiatry 2004; 184: 128–35.
- Springer JA, Binder JR, Hammeke TA, Swanson SJ, Frost JA, Bellgowan PS, et al. Language dominance in neurologically normal and epilepsy subjects: a functional MRI study. Brain 1999; 122: 2033–46.
- Tzourio N, Nkanga-Ngila B, Mazoyer B. Left planum temporale surface correlates with functional dominance during story listening. Neuroreport 1998; 9: 829–33.
- van der Kallen BF, Morris GL, Yetkin FZ, van Erning LJ, Thijssen HO, Haughton VM. Hemispheric language dominance studied with functional MR: preliminary study in healthy volunteers and patients with epilepsy. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1998; 19: 73–7.
- van Duyne HJ, Sass E. Verbal logic and ear-symmetry in third and fifth grade males and females. Cortex 1979; 15: 173–82.
- Vikingstad EM, George KP, Johnson AF, Cao Y. Cortical language lateralization in right handed normal subjects using functional magnetic resonance imaging. J Neurol Sci 2000; 175: 17–27.

- Vingerhoets G, Stroobant N. Lateralization of cerebral blood flow velocity changes during cognitive tasks. A simultaneous bilateral transcranial Doppler study. Stroke 1999; 30: 2152–8.
- Voyer D. On the magnitude of laterality effects and sex differences in functional lateralities. Laterality 1996; 1: 51-83.
- Wada J, Clarke R, Hamm A. Cerebral hemispheric asymmetry in humans. Cortical speech zones in 100 adult and 100 infant brains. Arch Neurol 1975; 32: 239–46.
- Witelson DF. Sex and the single hemisphere: specialization of the right hemisphere for spatial processing. Science 1976: 193: 425–7.
- Witelson SF, Glezer II, Kigar KL. Women have greater density of neurons in posterior temporal cortex. J Neuroscience 1995; 15: 3418–28.
- Xiong J, Rao S, Gao JH, Woldorff M, Fox PT. Evaluation of hemispheric dominance for language using functional MRI: a comparison with positron emission tomography. Hum Brain Mapp 1998; 6: 42–58.